

Liss, Celia

From: McGough, Thomas [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2018 12:13 PM
To: Lavelle, Daniel
Cc: Gilman, Daniel; [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: UPMC Mercy Language

While we're waiting for my folks to get back to me on the DECD grant and the green space pieces, let me know how to frame any documents/letters you need. Is the Outline sufficient? Should Mike Grace do another letter like the one dated 7/19/18? Should I do another letter about our commitments? Let me know.

Thanks.

On Jul 27, 2018, at 5:10 PM, McGough, Thomas [REDACTED] wrote:

OK. I'm sure we can craft some language on that point.

From: Lavelle, Daniel [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 27, 2018 5:07 PM
To: McGough, Thomas [REDACTED]
Cc: Gilman, Daniel [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: UPMC Mercy Language

Mr. McGouth,

Thank you and I can accept these changes. But, so you are aware, UPMC currently participates in the NAP program. Breaking the chains of poverty is actually a recipient of some of these funds. Continuing to support the program would provide the necessary resources for breaking the chains of poverty to do the Recruitment and training work in the Hill district. That was why I added that language.

R. Daniel Lavelle
Councilman, District 6
City of Pittsburgh

From: McGough, Thomas [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 27, 2018 4:48:16 PM
To: Lavelle, Daniel
Cc: Gilman, Daniel
Subject: RE: UPMC Mercy Language

Here are a clean version and a red-line version of our proposal for an Innovation District Benefit Outline. Most of the suggested changes are relatively minor, but I want to call three to your attention.

First, I have recast the opening three paragraphs to make it clear that UPMC was a founding member of InnovatePGH, fully supports its efforts, and in particular hopes that InnovatePGH, the Hill District, and Uptown can leverage UPMC's investment into the advances set forth in the eight bullet points that follow. I'm assuming that Sean Luther at InnovatePGH either gave you or signed off on these objectives. If not, you should run them by him.

Second, I have deleted the reference to the PA DECD Neighborhood Assistance Grant, not because continuing any commitment there wouldn't be acceptable, but rather because neither I nor the

people I could round up here today are familiar with it. I'm continuing to look into it and will be happy to discuss possibilities once I get my arms around it.

Third, and similarly, I have deleted the references to the Hill District Greensprint and the Uptown/West Oakland Ecolnnovation District Plan. I've asked our real estate people to look into these programs and will be prepared to discuss them once they report back.

With those amendments and the more minor ones reflected in the attachments UPMC is ready to commit.

Thanks for all your hard work on this.

Best regards,

Tom

W. Thomas McGough, Jr.

Executive Vice President & Chief Legal Officer
UPMC
U.S. Steel Tower, Suite 6241
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219



From: McGough, Thomas
Sent: Friday, July 27, 2018 11:02 AM
To: Lavelle, Daniel [REDACTED]
Cc: Gilman, Daniel [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: UPMC Mercy Launguage

Thanks for this, Dan. Setting aside the first three paragraphs of the 'Innovation District Benefit Outline,' which are not of course UPMC's commitments to make, I understand and generally support the rest of the document. I note, however, that there are several community benefits proposed in that latter portion of the outline that I'm seeing for the first time. I'll have to run those by my colleagues to determine their feasibility. I will get back to you as soon as possible.

Best regards,

Tom

From: Lavelle, Daniel [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 11:29 PM
To: McGough, Thomas [REDACTED]
Cc: Gilman, Daniel [REDACTED]
Subject: UPMC Mercy Launguage

Mr. McGough,

Attached is a copy of the "community benefits agreement" language for the UPMC Mercy campus development. This document first and foremost addresses my concerns that this development assist in addressing the economic and social needs of an adjacent impoverished and undeserved community. The actual language is the result of both mine and your (UPMC's) words, with the additional input of Innovate Pgh and UPMC board member Greg Spencer. I believe everything proposed is fair and reasonable.

As of tonight, The Mayor's office, the two UPMC board members who reach out to me, Chancellor Gallagher and Greg Spencer, have seen the final version. I believe it is fair to say they are all supportive of the language.

I am now sending it to you for your review. I want to make sure you have seen it prior to me circulating it among my colleagues.

I am copying Chief Gilman to make sure I am accurately capturing everyone's sentiments. Thank you again for your assistance and I look forward to hearing from you.

Daniel

R. Daniel Lavelle
Councilman, District 6
City of Pittsburgh